arXiv:1401.0594v2 [math.AP] 6 Jan 2014

$L^{4,\infty}$-solution to the Navier-Stokes Equations in four-dimensional space

Xixia Ma *

Abstract. In this paper, we have showed $L^{4,\infty}$-solutions of the cauchy problem for the four-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations are smooth through backward uniqueness and analytic function's properties .

Keywords. four-dimensional space, Navier-Stokes equations , $L^{4,\infty}$, smooth.

1 Introduction

In this paper ,we consider the Cauchy problem for incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in four spatial dimension with unite one viscosity and zero external force :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \partial_t u - \triangle u + u\nabla u + \nabla p = 0 \\ div \quad u = 0 \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^4, t > 0$, together with the initial condition

$$u(0, x) = a(x) \tag{1.2}$$

in $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$.

Many authors have studied the regularity of the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations ,especially spatial dimension $d = 3$. Ladyzhenskaya-Prodi-Serrin have given a criterion about the regularity of the Navier-Stokes equations, later Struwe,M has showed that the critical points are also right if $d \geq 3$,except L^∞ in time direction .As the suitable weak solution was introduced by Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg , they have proved that there is an open subset that u is Hölder continuous and the $1 - D$ Hausdorff measure of the singular set equals zero , if $d = 3$and for any suitable weak solution (u, p).Lin.F.H gave a more direct proof of Caffarelli,Kohn,Nirenberg's

*Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: kfmaxixia@163.com(Xixia Ma)

proof .Hongjie Deng,Dapeng Du gave the proof of four-dimension solution of the Navier-Stokes equations ,overcoming the difficulties of the solution's compactness .Their method was to apply the backward heat kernel to the Navier-Stokes equations . By the uniqueness of the backward heat kernel ,L.Esacauriaza ,G.Seregin , V.$\check{S}$verák gave a proof of the critical points $L^{\infty}(L^3)$ if $d = 3$. In this paper , we will show that at the critical points $L^{\infty;}(L^4)$ if $d = 4$, the conclusion is also right through the backward uniqueness and lemma 2.2.Furthmore this conclusion shows that the critical condition implies it that the solution of the Navier-Stokes equations is small.However, we want to know whether the conclusion is right or not if $d \geq 5$.

Theorem 1.1 *suppose that $a \in J^{\circ}$ hold. Let v and p be a weak Leray-Hopf solutions to the Cauchy problem* (1.1)*and*(1.2)*. Assume that .for some $T > 0$the velocity field v satisfies the so-called Ladyzhenskaya-Prodi-Serrin condition ,i.e. $v \in L^{s,l}(Q_T)$ with*

$$\frac{4}{s} + \frac{2}{l} = 1, s \in (4, \infty].$$

Then v is locally essential bounded in Q_T where $Q_T = \mathbb{R}^4 \times (0, T)$.

(The proof is found in Struwe,M."On partial regularity results for the Navier-Stokes equations.Comm.Pure Appl.Math.41(1988),no.4,437-458") A Leray-Hopf weak solution of the Cauchy problem(1.1)and (1.2) in Q_T is a vector field v satisfying:

a.

$$v \in L^{2,\infty}(Q) \cap L^2(-1, 0; H^1(B)).$$

b. the function

$$t \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} v(x,t)w(x,t)dx$$

is continuous in$[0, T]$ for any $w \in L^2$.

c.v satisfies the Navier-Stokes equations in the distribution sense and the local energy inequality is valid .

We recall that the norm in the mixed Lebesgue space $L^{s,l}(Q_T)$ is given as follows:

$$\|f\|_{s,l;Q_T} = \begin{cases} (\int \|f(\cdot,t)\|_s^l dt)^{\frac{1}{l}}, & l \in [1, +\infty) \\ esssup_{t\in(0,T)}\|f(\cdot,t)\|_s, l = \infty \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

In this paper we address the problem of regularity for the weak Leray-Hopf solutions v satisfying the additional condition $v \in L^{4,\infty}(Q_T)$. we prove that the Theorem 1.1 is valid .More precisely ,we have

Theorem 1.2 *Assume that v is a weak Leray-Hopf solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1) and (1.2) in Q_T and satisfies the additional condition $v \in L^{4,\infty}(Q_T)$. Then $v \in L^{6,6}(Q_T)$ and hence it is smooth in Q_T .*

In fact ,we prove the following local result .

Theorem 1.3 *Consider two functions v and p defined in the space-time cylinder $Q = B \times (0,1)$, where $B(r) \subseteq R^4$ stands for the ball of radius r with the center at the origin and $B = B(1)$. Assume that v and p satisfy the Navier-Stokes equations in Q in the sense of distributions and have the following differentiability properties:*

$$v \in L^{2,\infty}(Q) \cap L^2(-1,0;H^1(B)), p \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(Q)$$

In addition

$$\|v\|_{4,\infty}(Q) < \infty$$

Then v is Hölder continuous in the closure of the set $Q(\frac{1}{2}) = B(\frac{1}{2}) \times (-(\frac{1}{2})^2, 0)$.

2 Suitable Weak Solutions and Backward Uniqueness

In this section ,we are going to discuss smoothness of the so-called suitable weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations .

Definition 2.1 *let Ω be a open set in $\mathbb{R}^4$. We say that a pair u and p is a suitable weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations on the set $\Omega \times (-T_1, T)$ if it satisfies the conditions :*

$$u \in L^{2,\infty}(\Omega \times (-T_1, T)) \cap L^2(-1,0;H^1(\Omega)), p \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega \times (-T_1, T)) \quad (2.1)$$

u and p satisfy the Navier-Stokes equations in the distribution sense ;

u and p satisfy the local energy inequality

$$\int_\Omega \varphi |u(x,t)|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega \times (-T_1,t)} \varphi |\nabla u|^2 dx dt' \leq$$

$$\int_{\Omega \times (-T_1,t)} (|u^2(\triangle \varphi + \partial_t \varphi) + u \cdot \nabla \varphi (|u|^2 + \nabla 2p)) dx dt' \quad (2.2)$$

for a.a. $t \in (-T_1, T)$ and for all nonnegative functions $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$ vanishing in the neighborhood of the parabolic boundary $\partial' Q \equiv \Omega \times t = -T_1 \bigcup \partial\Omega \times [-T_1, T]$.

Lemma 2.2 *There exist absolute positive constants $\varepsilon_0$and $c_{0k}, k = 1, 2, \cdots$, with the following property .Assume that the pair u and p is suitable weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations in Q and satisfies the condition*

$$\int_Q (|u|^3 + |p|^{\frac{3}{2}} dz) < \varepsilon_0 \tag{2.3}$$

Then for any natural number k, $\nabla^{k-1}u$ is holder continuous in $\overline{Q}(\frac{1}{2})$ and the following bound is valid :

$$max_{z \in Q(\frac{1}{2})} u < c_{0k} \tag{2.4}$$

proof: $k = 0$, the conclusion is ok though interpolation inequality and iteration ,also see[13] .By Theorem 1.1, $k \geq 1$ is also right .

In the following content , we are going to give known facts from the theory of unique continuation for differential inequalities .We also work with the backward heat operator $\partial_t + \triangle u$.In the space-time cylinder $Q(r, T) \equiv B(r) \times (0, T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^1$,we consider a vector-valued function $u = (u_i) = (u_1, \cdots, u_n)$, satisfying three conditions :

$$u \in W_2^{2,1}(Q(r, T), \mathbb{R}^n); \tag{2.5}$$

$$|\partial_t u - \triangle u| \leq c_1(|u| + |\nabla u|) \quad a.e.in \quad Q(r, T) \tag{2.6}$$

for some positive constant c_1 ;

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C_k(|x| + \sqrt{t})^k \tag{2.7}$$

for all $k = 0, 1, \cdots$, for all $(x, t) \in Q(r, T)$, and for some positive constants C_k. Here

$$W_2^{2,1}(Q(r, T) \equiv |u| + |\nabla u| + |\nabla^2 u| + |\partial_t u| \in L^2(Q(r, T)).$$

Theorem 2.3 *Assume that a function u satisfies conditions* (2.5) − (2.7). *Then $u(x, 0) = 0$ for all $x \in B(r)$*

(the proof is founded in the part IV of [1])

Theorem 2.4 *Let $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = x|(x_i \in R^n), x_n > 0, i = 1, 2, ...,$ and $Q_+ = \mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0, 1)$,$u : Q_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$, and satisfies*

$$|\partial_t + \triangle u| \leq c_1(|u| + |\nabla u|) \quad in \quad Q_+. \tag{2.8}$$

For some $c_1 > 0$ and

$$u(x, 0) = 0 \quad in \quad R^n_+. \tag{2.9}$$

We also assume $u \in W_2^{2,1}(Q'_+)$ where $Q'_+ \subseteq Q_+ is \quad bounded.$ Then if

$$|u(x, t)\rfloor \leq e^{M|x|^2}, \tag{2.10}$$

we have $u \equiv 0$ in Q_+.

Theorem 2.5 *Let u be a solution of (1),(2) such that $u(\cdot,t)$ is analytic in a bounded open set $Q=\Omega\times(0,T)$,If there exist a nonempty open set Ω_1 in Ω and a $t_1\in(0,T)$ such that $u(x,t_1)=0, x\in\Omega_1$,then $u\equiv 0$ in Q.*

proof: Since $u(x,t)$ is analytic in x and t in Q .By assumption $u(x,t_1)=0$, for $x\in\Omega_1$,hence $u(x,t_1)=0$ in Ω .So $\omega(x,t_1)=0$ in Ω. Since ω satisfies

$$\partial_t\omega-\triangle\omega=*d(u\nabla u)=div(u\wedge\omega).$$

We have $\partial_t\omega(x,t_1)=0$ and so $*du_t(x,t_1)=0$ .Since $u_t\in H_0^1$ and $divu_t=0$ we deduce $u_t(x,t_1)=0$.Applying the same argument ,we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}^k u(x,t_1)=0$ for $k=0,1,2,...$, then the theorem is proved .

.

3 Proof of the main results

We start with the proof of theorem 1.3and then state that Theorem 1.2 is valid .

Our approach is based on the theory of analytic function's property and backward uniqueness for the heat operator .We use the backward uniqueness to prove Theorem 1.3.Before the proof of the theorem 1.3 ,we give a lemma .
Lemma 3.1 *Assume (v,p) is a Leray-Hopf solution of (1.1) and (1.2), if $v\in L^{4,\infty}(Q_T)$, then we have $\partial_t v,\nabla p,\nabla^2 v\in L^{\frac{4}{3}}(Q_T)$.*

proof: First by Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding, Choose $\phi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and $div\phi=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(\partial_t v,\phi)=-(v\nabla v,\phi)-(\nabla v,\nabla\phi)\\&\le\|v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\phi\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}\\&\le(\|v\|_{L^2}+\|v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2})\|\phi\|_{H^2}\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

.

Hence $\partial_t v\in L^{\frac{4}{3}}(Q_T)$. In the following , we show $\nabla p\in L^{\frac{4}{3}}(Q_T)$,then it is easy to check $\nabla^2 v\in L^{\frac{4}{3}}(Q_T$.

In fact , let $f=\partial_t v-\triangle v$,then first it is obtained that $f\in L^2(0,T;H_0^{-2})$ as mentioned above.

And then we know

$$\begin{aligned}&divf=0\\&*df=*d(v\nabla v)\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

in any open set $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{R}^4$ for a.e $t\in(0,T)$.

By the elliptic regularity theory ,

$$\|f\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}_{\frac{4}{3}}}^{L^{\frac{4}{3}}_{\frac{4}{3}}} \leq \|v\nabla v\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}_{\frac{4}{3}}}^{L^{\frac{4}{3}}_{\frac{4}{3}}} + \|f\|_{H_0^{-2}}^{L^{\frac{4}{3}}_{H_0^{-2}}}. \tag{3.3}$$

So we get $\nabla p \in L^{\frac{4}{3}}(Q_T)$.

the proof of Theorem 1.3 Here we will prove in two steps .

Step 1: we show that (v, p) is a suitable weak solution in Q. In fact , we need to prove $\|v\|_{L^3(Q(\frac{3}{4}))} < \infty$, hence it is enough to show

$$sup_{-(\frac{3}{4})^2 \leq t \leq 0} \|v(.,t)\|_{L^3(B(\frac{3}{4}))} \leq \|v(x,t)\|_{L^3(Q)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Claim:

$$\begin{aligned} &t \to \textstyle\int_{B(\frac{3}{4})} v(x,t)w(x,t)dx \quad is \quad continuous \quad in \quad [-(\tfrac{3}{4})^2, 0] \\ &\quad for \quad any \quad w \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(B(\tfrac{3}{4})). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

First ,we know $\|v\|_{L^4(Q)} < \infty$ by $v \in L^{4,\infty}(Q)$,hence

$$\|v\nabla v\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(Q(\frac{3}{4}))} \leq \|v\|_{L^4(Q(\frac{3}{4}))} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(Q(\frac{3}{4}))}. \tag{3.6}$$

It is easy to show

$$\|\partial_t v\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(Q(\frac{3}{4}))} < \infty \tag{3.7}$$

by (3.1).And this implies (3.4). We also consider

$$\|p\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(Q(\frac{3}{4}))} < \infty. \tag{3.8}$$

Compose

$$p = p_1 + p_2 \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\triangle p_1 = div(v\nabla v) \quad in \quad B(\frac{3}{4}), \tag{3.10}$$

and $p_1 = 0$ on $\partial B(\frac{3}{4})$,(3.8)is proved by C-Z estimate and the properties of the harmonic function .

Step 2: Assume that the statement of Theorem 1.3 is false .Let $z_0 \in \overline{Q(\frac{1}{2})}$ be a singular point,then by Lemma 2.2 ,there exists a sequence of positive numbers r_k such that $r_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, and

$$A(r_k) = \int_{B(x_0,r_k)\times t_0 - r_k^2 \leq t \leq t_0} |v(x,t)^3 dxdt > \varepsilon_* \quad forall \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.11}$$

Here ε_* is an absolute positive constant .

we extend functions (v,p) to the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{4+1}$ by zero .Extended functions will be denoted by $(\widetilde{v},\widetilde{p})$, respectively .Now ,we let

$$v^{r^k}(x,t) = r^k\widetilde{v}(x_0 + r^k x, t_0 + r^{2k}t),$$

$$p^{r^k}(x,t) = r^{2k}\widetilde{p}(x_0 + r^k x, t_0 + r^{2k}t.$$

$$\phi(x,t) = r^k\phi^{r^k}(x_0 + r^k x, t_0 + r^{2k}t$$

where $\phi \in C_0^\infty(R^{4+1})$.

we choose r^k so small to ensure

$$spt\phi \subset (x,t)|t_0 + r^{2k}t \in (-(\frac{4}{3}^2, (\frac{4}{3}^2), x_0 + r^k x \in B(\frac{3}{4}),$$

$$spt\phi^{r^k} \subset B(\frac{3}{4}) \times (-(\frac{4}{3}^2, (\frac{4}{3}^2).$$

Then we have

$$2\int_{B\times(-1,0)} \phi^{r^k}|\nabla v|^2 dxdt \leq \int_{B\times(-1,0)} (|v^2(\triangle\phi^{r^k} + \partial_t\phi^{r^k}) + v\cdot\nabla\phi^{r^k}(|v|^2 + \nabla 2p))dxdt,$$

Like estimating p as above mentioned ,we further obtain

$$\int_{Q_1} (|p^{r^k}|^{\frac{3}{2}} + |\nabla v^{r^k}(x,t)|^2)dz \leq c_3(Q_1) < \infty \tag{3.12}$$

where $Q_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{4+1}$ with $c_3(Q_1)$ independent of r^k.Then we apply Step 1and Lemma3.1 ,we find

$$\nabla^2 v^{r^k}, \nabla p^{r^k} \in L^{\frac{4}{3}}(Q). \tag{3.13}$$

Together with $v \in L^{4,\infty}(Q)$, this implies

$$v^{r^k} \to u \quad in \quad L^3(Q_1) \tag{3.14}$$

for $Q_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{4+1}$;

Moreover by Arzela-Ascoli theorem, it is clear that

$$v^{r^k} \to u \quad in \quad C([a,b]; L^{\frac{4}{3}}(\Omega)) \tag{3.15}$$

for any $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$ and for any $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4$.

So furthermore,

$$v^{r^k} \to u \quad in \quad C([a,b]; L^2(\Omega)) \tag{3.16}$$

which is easily obtained from the interpolation inequality .

Now we conclude that :

$$\int_Q (|u|^4 + |\nabla u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^{\frac{4}{3}} + |\nabla^2 u|^{\frac{4}{3}} + |\nabla u|^{\frac{4}{3}}) dz \leq c_3(Q) \tag{3.17}$$

for any $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{4+1}$;and

$$u \in C([a,b]; L^2(\Omega)) \tag{3.18}$$

for $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$ and for any $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4$, and (u,p) satisfies the Navier-Stokes equations a.e in the distribution sense .

Hence it is easy to show that (u,p) is a suitable weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations in $\Omega \times [a,b]$. According to (3.11),

$$sup_{-r^{2k} \leq t \leq 0} \frac{1}{r_k} \int_{B(0,r_k)} |v(x,t)|^2 dx = sup_{-1 \leq t \leq 0} \int_{B(0,1)} |v^{r^k}(x,t)|^2 dx > \varepsilon_\star$$

by the interpolation inequality .For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and by (3.16), we obtain

$$sup_{-1 \leq t \leq 0} \int_{B(0,1)} |u(x,t)|^2 dx > \varepsilon_\star. \tag{3.19}$$

In the following , we are going to show that there exist some positive numbersR_2 and T_2 such that for any $k = 0, 1, ...,$ the function $\nabla^k u$ is hölder continuous and bounded on the set $(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B}(R_2)) \times (-2T_2, 0]$.

Let us fix an arbitrary number $T_2 > 2$ and note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 \times (-T_2, 0)} |u(x,t)|^3 + |q(x,t)|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx dt < \infty.$$

Therefore ,

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B}(R_2)) \times (-T_2, 0)} |u(x,t)|^3 + |q(x,t)|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx dt \to 0$$

as $R \to \infty$.

This means that there exits a number $R_2(\varepsilon_0, T) > 4$ such that

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B}(R)) \times (-T_2, 0)} |u(x,t)^3 + |q(x,t)^{\frac{3}{2}} dx dt < \varepsilon_0. \tag{3.20}$$

Now assume that$z_1 = (x_1, t_1) \in (\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B}(R_2)) \times (-2T_2, 0]$. Then

$$Q(z_1, 1) = B(x_1) \times (t_1 - 1, t_1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B}(\frac{R_2}{4})) \times (-4T_2, 0].$$

So by(3.20),

$$\int_{B(x_1)\times(t_1-1,t_1)} |u|^3 + |q|^{\frac{3}{2}} < \varepsilon_0 \tag{3.21}$$

for any $z_1 \in (\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B}(R_2)) \times (-2T_2, 0]$, where T_2 and $R_2 > 4$. Then it follows from (3.21) and Lemma 2.2 that for any $k = 0, 1, ...,$

$$max_{z\in Q(z_1,\frac{1}{2})} |\nabla^k u(z)| \leq c_{0k} < \infty. \tag{3.22}$$

and $\nabla^k u(z)$ is H $\ddot{o}$lder continuous on $(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B}(R_2)) \times (-2T_2, 0]$. Now let us introduce the vorticity ω of u, i.e. $\omega = *du$.The function ω meets the equation

$$\partial_t \omega - \triangle \omega = *d(u\nabla u) = div(u \wedge \omega)$$

in $(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B}(R_2)) \times (-T_2, 0]$.

Recalling (3.22) the function ω satisfies the following relations :

$$|\partial_t \omega - \triangle \omega| \leq M(|\omega| + |\nabla \omega|) \tag{3.23}$$

for some constant $M > 0$ and

$$|\omega| \leq c_{00} + c_{01} < \infty. \tag{3.24}$$

Let us show that

$$\omega(x, 0) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B}(R_2). \tag{3.25}$$

We take into account the fact that $u \in C([a, b]; L^2)$ and find

$$(\int_{B(x_{*,1})} |u(x, 0)|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq$$

$$(\int_{B(x_{*,1})} |u(x, 0) - v^{r^k}(x, 0)|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\int_{B(x_{*,1})} |v^{r^k}(x, 0)|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \|u - v^{r^k}\|_{C([a,b];L^2)} + |B|^{\frac{1}{6}} (\int_{B(x_{*,1})} |v^{r^k}(x, 0)|^3 dx)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

By (3.4)and (3.16), it is concluded that

$$(\int_{B(x_{*,1})} |u(x, 0)|^2 dx) = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$,so(3.25)is proved .Hence by Theorem 2.4 of Section 2, we show that

$$\omega(z) = 0, z \in (\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B}(R_2)) \times (-T_2, 0]. \tag{3.26}$$

Claim:

$$\omega(\cdot,t)=0 \quad in \quad \mathbb{R}^4 \quad for \quad a.e. \quad t\in(-T_2,0). \tag{3.27}$$

We know that (u,q) meet the equations :

$$\partial_t u+u\nabla u+\nabla q=0, divu=0, \triangle u=0, \nabla\wedge u=0 \tag{3.28}$$

in the set $(\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\overline{B}(R_2))\times(-T_2,0]$. From (3.28), we deduce the following bound

$$max_{Q_0}(|\nabla^k u|+|\nabla^k\partial_t u|+|\nabla^k q|\le c^1_{0k}<\infty \tag{3.29}$$

for all $k=0,1,2,...$,here $Q_0=(\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\overline{B}(R_2))\times(-T_2,0]$.

To prove (3.27),according to (3.26) , we fix a smooth cut-off function $\varphi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$ subjected to the conditions :$\varphi(x)=1$ if $x\in B(2R_2)$ and $\varphi(x)=0$ if $x\in\mathbb{R}^4\setminus B(3R_2)$. Let $w=\varphi u, r=\varphi q$,so (w,r) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t w-\triangle w+w\nabla w+\nabla r=g\\&divw=u\nabla\varphi\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

in $Q_*=B(2R_2)\times(\frac{-T_2}{2},0)$and

$$w=0on \quad \partial B(2R_2)\times(\frac{-T_2}{2},0) \tag{3.31}$$

where $g=(\varphi^2-\varphi)u\nabla u+uu\cdot\nabla\varphi^2+q\nabla\varphi-2\nabla u\nabla\varphi-u\triangle\varphi$

It is clear that w is not incompressible .So we introduce the functions $(\widetilde{w},\widetilde{r})$ satisfies :

$$-\triangle\widetilde{w}+\nabla\widetilde{r}=0, div\widetilde{w}=u\nabla\varphi$$

in Q_* with $\widetilde{w}=0$ on $\partial B(2R_2)\times(\frac{-T_2}{2},0)$.

Setting $U=w-\widetilde{w}$ and $P=r-\widetilde{r}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t U-\triangle U+U\nabla U+\nabla P=G-div(U\otimes\widetilde{w}+\widetilde{w}\otimes U)\\&divU=0\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

in Q_*, and

$$U=0 \quad on \quad \partial B(2R_2)\times(\frac{-T_2}{2},0) \tag{3.33}$$

where $G=-div\widetilde{w}\otimes\widetilde{w}+g-\partial_t\widetilde{w}$.By (3.22)and the elliptic regularity theory, we can choose $t_0\in(\frac{-T_2}{2},0)$ so that

$$\|\nabla U(\cdot,t_0\|_{2,B(2R_2)}<\infty. \tag{3.34}$$

Then by the short time unique solvability results for the Navier-Stokes equations ,we find a number $\delta_0>0$ such that

$$\partial_t U,\nabla P,\nabla^2 U\in L^2(B(3R_2)\times(t_0,t_0+\delta_0)),$$

then it is easy to check that

$$sup_{t_0-\varepsilon<t<t_0+\delta_0-\varepsilon} sup_{x\in B(2R_2)} |\nabla^k U| \le c_{0k}^5 < \infty$$

for $k = 0, 1, ...,$ and for $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\delta_0}{4}$, so it is valid that

$$sup_{t_0+\varepsilon<t<t_0+\delta_0-\varepsilon} sup_{x\in B(2R_2)} |\nabla^k u| \le c_{0k}^6 < \infty.$$

Hence $u(\cdot, t)$ is analytic in the $B(3R_2)$ for $(t_0 + \varepsilon, t_0 + \delta_0 - \varepsilon)$,and as mentioned above,$\omega = 0$ for $(B(3R_2) \setminus B(2R_2)) \times (t_0 + \varepsilon, t_0 + \delta_0 - \varepsilon)$.By the Theorem 2.5 ,we obtain

$$\omega = 0$$

in $B(3R_2) \times (t_0 + \varepsilon, t_0 + \delta_0 - \varepsilon)$. Then theorem 1.3 is proved .

the proof of Theorem 1.2:we can choose $w = |v|^2$ in the proof of Theorem 1.3. By Theorem 1.3 , it is easy to find

$$w \in L^{2,\infty}(Q_T) \bigcap L^2(\delta, T; W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^4)),$$

and then

$$\|w\|_{L^3} \le \|w\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}},$$

so we deduce

$$w \in L^3(Q_{\delta,T}) \Leftrightarrow v \in L^6(Q_{\delta,T})$$

for some $\delta > 0$.□

4 Appendix

Now we give the existence of the solution of the Navier-Stokes equations in Theorem 1.2 .The method is similar to the construction of Lei-Lin solution.

Let us recall that the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^4$ are :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \partial_t u - \triangle u + u\nabla u + \nabla p = 0 \\ div \quad u = 0 \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

one assumes that the initial data $u(x, 0) = u_0(0)$ are divergence-free and possess certain regularity .

The known a priori Leray-Hopf energy estimates satisfied by classical solutions of (4.1) is as follows :

$$sup_{t>0} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \le \|u_0\|_{L^2}, \int_0^\infty \|\nabla u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \le \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.2}$$

Denote $D = \sqrt{-\triangle}$. Let u be a divergence-free vector field .We make the following decomposition:

$$u = u_+ + u_- \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$u_+ = \frac{1}{2}(u + D^{-1}\nabla \times u)$$

and

$$u_- = \frac{1}{2}(u - D^{-1}\nabla \times u)$$

We have the following facts :

a: Let $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a divergence-free vector field and be decomposed into u_+ and u_- as (4.3) . Then the following identities hold :

$$\nabla \times u_+ = Du_+, \nabla \times u_- = -Du_-.$$

b: Let $m, k \geq 0$ be any integers and $u \in C^m([0,T), H^k(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Suppose that for each $t \in [0,T)$, $u(t,\cdot)$ is divergence-free .Decompose $u(t,\cdot)$ into u_+ and u_- as (4.3).Then for all integers m_1, m_2 and k_1, k_2 with $m_1 + m_2 \leq m$ and $k_1 + k_2 \leq k$, we have

$$\int D^{m_1}\partial_t^{k_1} u_+ \cdot D^{m_2}\partial_t^{k_2} u_- \equiv 0.$$

Now we give a example satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.2 .

For $j \geq 1$,let $N_j \geq 1$ be an integer which is increasing with respect to j ,$0 < \delta_j < \frac{1}{2}$ is a constant and γ_j is a measurable ,bounded function such that

$$\begin{array}{l} supp\gamma_j \subset C_{N_j} = \xi \in \mathbb{R}^4 : ||\xi| - N_j| \leq \delta_j N_j, \\ N_j \leq \frac{N_k}{8} for j < k \end{array} \tag{4.4}$$

We define v_0 and v_{0j} as follows :

$$v_0 = \Sigma_{j=1}^{\infty} v_{0j}, \widehat{v_{0j}}(\xi) = (N(\xi) + i|\xi|^{-1}\xi \times N(\xi)\gamma_j(\xi), \tag{4.5}$$

where $N(\xi)$ is a unit vector which is perpendicular to ξ .Clearly ,one has

$$\nabla \cdot v_{0j} = 0, v_{0j+} = v_{0j}, v_{0j-} = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Define

$$v(t,x) = \Sigma_{j\geq 1} v_j(t,x) \tag{4.7}$$

where $v_j = \Sigma_{j\geq 1} e^{t\triangle} v_{0j}$. Then it is easy to check that v satisfies :

$$\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla p_1 = \triangle v + g, \nabla \cdot v = 0 \tag{4.8}$$

where $p_1 = -\frac{1}{2}|v|^2, g = -\Sigma_{j,k\geq 1} v_j \times \omega_j$ and $\omega_j = \nabla \times v_j$.

If we write $u = v+b$ where v is defined above . We can show $sup_{t\geq 0}\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^1} < \infty$,here the critical energy $E_c(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|Du(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|D\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2} ds$ .By the Sobolev embedding theorem ,this estimate implies that

$$u \in L^q_t(L^p), \frac{4}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 1, 4 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

See the details in [15].

I appreciate my advisor professor Binglong Chen and am thank professor Zhen Lei . At the same time , I am glad to discuss with Dr Xuming Gu .

References

[1] L.Escauriaza,G.Seregin,V.Šverák $L^{3,\infty}$ -Solutions to the Navier-Stokes Equations and Backward Uniqueness,Dedicated to Olga Alexandrovna Ladyzhenskaya,1991 Mathematical subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.): 35K,76D (2003)

[2] Caffarelli,l. ,Kohn,R-V.,Nirenberg,L., Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations , Comm.Pure Appl.Math.,Vol.XXXV(1982),pp.771-831.

[3] Kato,T.,Strong L^p-solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations in $\mathbb{R}_m$ with applications to weak solutions ,Math.Zeit .187(1984),471-480.

[4] Lin,F-H.,A new proof of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg theorem , Comm.Pure Appl.Math.,51(1998),no.3,pp.241-257.

[5] Masuda,K.,On the analiticity and the unique continuation theorem for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations ,proc.,Japan Acad.,43(1967),pp.no.43,827-832.

[6] Scheffer,V.,Partial regularity of solutions to the Navier-Stokes,Ann,Mat.Pura Appl.,48(1959),pp.173-182.

[7] Seregin,G.A.,Differentiability properties of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations ,Algebra and Analysis,14(2002),pp.193-237. .

[8] Seregin,G.A.,Šverák,V.,The Navier-Stokes equations and backward uniquness,Nonlinear Problems in Mathematical Physics II,2002,pp.359-370

[9] Seregin,G.A.,Šverák,V.,On solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with lower bounds on pressure ,Arch .Rational Mech.Anal,163(2002),pp.65-86.

[10] Serrin,J.,On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations . Arch.Ration.Mech.Anal.,9(1962),pp.187-195.

[11] Struwe,M.,On partial regularity results for the Navier-Stokes equations. Comm.Pure Appl.Math.41(1988),no.4,437-458

[12] Hongjie Dong ,Dapeng Du, Partial regularity of Solutions to four-dimmensional Navier-Stokes Equations at the first blow-up time.Comm. Math. Phys. 273 (2007), no. 3, 785C801

[13] Xuming Gu , Hongjie Dong ,Boundary partial regularity for the high dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, arXiv:1302.1443 (2013.6)

[14] Ladyzhenskaya,O.A.,On Uniquenness and smoothness of generalized solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations ,Zapiski Nauchn.Seminar.POMI,5(1967),pp.169-185.

[15] Zhen Lei,Fang-Hua Lin, Yi Zhou, Structure of Hlicity and Global Solutions of Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equation , in prepration ,2013.